

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,698	12/08/2003	Jason D. Hanzlik	10395US01	3984
Imation Corp.	7590 03/12/2	007	EXAM	INER
PO Box 64898			HAUGLANI	D, SCOTT J
St. Paul, MN 5	5164-0898		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3654	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination				
	10/730,698	HANZLIK ET AL.			
		Art Unit			
	Meredith C. Petravick	3671			
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

	1 18818 1181 1881
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Re	view filed <u>2/12/07</u> .
 Improper Request – The Request is improper a reason(s): 	nd a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concu☐ The request does not include reasons why a re☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pr☐ Other:	eview is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run for the mail date of the last Office communication, if no No	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interheld. The application remains under appeal because the is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decrunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	nere is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant h 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal cision, or the balance of the two-month time period ever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the cl Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	aim(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits repapplicant at this time.	held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of mains closed. No further action is required by
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by a	
All participants:	
(1) Meredith C. Petravick. MP	(3) Scott Haugland.
(2) William Rivera MR/	(4)